Nuclear Science and Technology

Journal homepage: http://jnst.vn/index.php/nst

Study on column leaching process of rare earths from ion adsorption clays by ammonium sulfate

Nguyen Van Tung, Bui Ba Duy, Cao Duy Minh, Nguyen Thi Lien, Luu Xuan Dinh

Institute for Technology of Radioactive and Rare Elenments, 48 Lang Ha, Dong Da, Ha Noi Email: tungnv.88@gmail.com

Abstract: This report presents the results of study on the column leaching process of rare earths from ion adsorption clay by ammonium sulfate at laboratory scale. Total rare earths content in ion adsorption clay about 350 - 550 ppm. The influence of factors such as solution concentration $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, time leaching, solid/liquid ratio, number of stage washing ... on the rare earth recovery efficiency was evaluated. The obtained results showed that the rare earth recovery efficiency was up to about 90% under optimal conditions. The obtained technological parameters will re-evaluated at pilot scale (500 kg/batch) to assess the possibility of larger scale development.

Keywords: Rare earth, column leaching, ion adsorption clays....

I. INTRODUCTION

Weathered crust elution-deposited rare earth (RE) ore, also named ion-adsorbed RE ore, is a unique mineral resource due to the rich middle and heavy RE [1,2]. For the tremendous commercial value, great attention is paid to the exploitation of this ore. The main mineral compositions of the weathered crust elutiondeposited RE ore are quartz, potash feldspar, plagioclase, kaolinite and white mica. In a warm and humid climate, original rocks containing RE are weathered and clay minerals are formed by biological, chemical and physical effects. During this weathering process, REs in the minerals are dissociated to be hydrated or hydroxyl-hydrated RE ions and further adsorbed on clay minerals with the migration of natural water [3, 4].

The leaching process of RE from ionadsorbed RE ore is a mass transfer process. The cations in the leaching agent diffuse from solution to the ore particles, and then the RE ions adsorbed on the ore particles are replaced and transferred into the solution [5, 6].

Due to the strong exchangeability with RE, minor environmental pollution and low cost, ammonium salts are generally recognized as the optimal leaching agents at present. Ammonium sulfate ($(NH_4)_2SO_4$) is widely used in the actual RE recovery [7, 8].

In the ion-adsorbed RE ore, RE in ionexchangeable state, mainly adsorbed on clay mineral, could be exchanged by electrolyte solution through ion-exchange method [9, 10]. The main clay minerals are aluminosilicate. The leaching chemical reaction with ammonium salt can be expressed as below:

$$[Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4]_m.nRE^{3+} + 3nNH_4^+ \leftrightarrow [Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4]m.(NH_4^+)_{3n} + nRE^{3+}$$
(1)

$$\begin{split} & [Al(OH)_6Si_2O_5(OH)_3]_m.nRE^{3+} + 3nNH_4^+ \leftrightarrow \\ & [Al(OH)_6Si_2O_5(OH)_3]_m.(NH_4^+)_{3n} + nRE^{3+} \end{split}$$

Various investigations of the desorption of REE from clays via ion-exchange leaching [11-13] indicated that, regardless of the initial content, not all REE reached similar extraction levels (i.e. the percentages of desorbed/recovered REE varied widely). Coppin et al. reported that the amount of trivalent lanthanide ions adsorbed on smectite and kaolinite was inversely proportional to the ionic radii and pointed to a fractionation during selective sorption of lanthanides, with heavy elements (i.e. higher atomic number: Tb to Lu) being adsorbed stronger that the light ones (i.e La to Gd) [14]. They related this behavior to the lanthanide contraction in the ionic radii going from light to heavy REE. Based on these observations, it was inferred that desorption must exhibit a similar trend, with HREE being more easy to extract, probably according the trend La < Ce <Pr<Nd< Sm <Eu<Gd< Tb <Dy< Ho < Y <Er< Tm <Yb< Lu.

In this study, the leaching process of RE by the ammonium sulfate was investigated in the fixed bed column. The influence of factors such as solution concentration (NH₄)₂SO₄, time leaching, solid/liquid ratio, number of stage washing... on the rare earth recovery efficiency were evaluated.

II. CONTENT

A. Material and method

The experimental RE ore sample is original RE ores collected from Huaphan Province of Lao People's Democratic Republic. The RE ore sample was classificated by vibrating screen with particle sizes ranging from-0.5; 0.5-1.0; 1.0-2.0; 2.05.0 and +5.0 mm and dried in an oven at 50° C for 8 h.

The main mineral composition of the RE ore was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (D8-Bruker Advance) and the results were listed in Table I.

The composition and partitioning of the RE in the oreand residue after leaching was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS 8900QQQ, Agilent Technologies Inc.).

The occurrence states of RE in RE ore was determined with the sequential fractionating extraction method [15].

All chemicals in this study were analytical grade.

The experiments were processed at ambient temperature in a PVC column with an inner diameter of 65 mm and a column length of 500, 1000 and 1500 mm, respectively. 2500 g of dried RE ore sample was packed uniformly in the PVC column and the packed ore height was measured. A sand core filter plate was fixed at the bottom of theleaching column to retain soil particles and two layers of filter paper were put on the upper of the RE ore sample to resist preferential flow. The leaching agent was added from the top of the leaching column at a constant flow rate by a peristaltic pump. Leachate was collected from the bottom of the leaching column and the concentrations of RE was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry.Leachate was collected from the bottom of the glass column at the same time interval, and the volume of leachate was measured.



Fig. 1. The schematic plot of the column leaching test

The rare earth leaching efficiency (H) was calculated according to equation:

$$H = \frac{\sum REEs_{Lechate}}{\sum REEs_{Lechate} + \sum REEs_{Residue}}$$

Where:

 $\sum REEs_{Lechate}$: the total amount of REEs in leached (without Ce).

 $\sum REEs_{Residue}$: the total amount of REEs in residues (without Ce).

B. Result

Particle size (mm)	Ratio (%)	Mineral composition and content (~%)							
		Mica	Kaolinite	Clorite	Quartz	Feldspar	Others	content (ppm)	
-0.5	12.94	23 - 25	15 - 17	2 - 4	32 - 34	20 - 22	Hematite	535.89	
+0.5-1.0	21.40	17 - 19	13 - 15	2 - 4	44 - 46	16 - 18	Gotit	434.06	
+1.0-2.0	16.25	18 - 20	13 - 15	2 - 4	37 - 39	22 - 24	Gotit	394.29	
+2.0-5.0	15.56	11 - 13	13 - 15	2 - 4	46 - 48	20 - 22	-	339.60	
+5.0	33.85	10 - 12	19 - 21	2 - 4	34 - 36	26 - 28	Gotit	430.67	

Table I. Mineral composition and content

Table II. Partitioning of RE (mass fraction, %)

		Conte	ent of RE	(ppm)		Partitioning of RE (%)				
Elements	Particle size (mm)									
	-0.5	+0.5- 1.0	+1.0- 2.0	+2.0- 5.0	+5.0	-0.5	+0.5- 1.0	+1.0- 2.0	+2.0- 5.0	+5.0

Sc	8.45	6.21	5.00	4.36	6.44	1.58	1.43	1.27	1.28	1.50
Y	143.35	100.36	88.28	72.61	103.13	26.75	23.12	22.39	21.38	23.95
La	77.86	76.60	60.29	47.79	61.01	14.53	17.65	15.29	14.07	14.17
Ce	87.20	61.77	81.33	86.27	92.82	16.27	14.23	20.63	25.40	21.55
Pr	21.19	20.63	16.90	13.06	16.66	3.95	4.75	4.29	3.85	3.87
Nd	75.84	73.48	61.45	47.30	60.57	14.15	16.93	15.58	13.93	14.06
Sm	18.37	16.87	13.85	11.45	14.88	3.43	3.89	3.51	3.37	3.46
Eu	2.21	2.41	2.53	1.46	1.62	0.41	0.56	0.64	0.43	0.38
Gd	23.03	19.80	17.29	15.39	18.84	4.30	4.56	4.39	4.53	4.37
Tb	4.06	3.39	2.73	2.34	3.03	0.76	0.78	0.69	0.69	0.70
Dy	25.71	19.68	16.56	13.82	18.78	4.80	4.53	4.20	4.07	4.36
Но	5.45	4.12	3.39	2.86	3.89	1.02	0.95	0.86	0.84	0.90
Er	18.61	12.31	10.59	8.88	12.26	3.47	2.84	2.69	2.61	2.85
Tm	2.78	1.97	1.64	1.43	1.90	0.52	0.45	0.42	0.42	0.44
Yb	19.01	12.55	10.90	9.24	12.98	3.55	2.89	2.76	2.72	3.01
Lu	2.77	1.91	1.56	1.34	1.86	0.52	0.44	0.40	0.39	0.43
REEs	535.89	434.06	394.29	339.60	430.67	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

STUDY ON COLUMN LEACHING PROCESS OF RARE EARTHS FROM ION ADSORPTION CLAYS...

Table III. Occurrence states of RE in rare earth ore

Phase state	Phase distribution rate (%)				
Ion-exchangeable	85.00				
Colloid sediment	4.50				
Mineral	10.50				
Full phase	100.00				

Table IV. Partitioning of RE in ion-exchangeable state (mass fraction, %)

Y	La	Ce	Pr	Nd
29.04	18.70	2.48	5.11	18.44
Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy
4.36	0.60	5.47	0.89	5.42
Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
1.13	3.57	0.56	3.69	0.54



Fig. 2.Column leaching results as a function of L/S ratio and time ((NH₄)₂SO₄ 0.5 M, height column 500 mm)



Fig. 3. Column leaching results as a function of concentration and time (L/S ratio: 0.8/1, height column 500 mm)



Fig. 4. Al content in the leachate (L/S ratio: 0.8/1, height column 500 mm)



Fig. 5. Impact of multi-stage washing on overall TREE extraction (height column 500 mm, L/S = 0.8/1, (NH₄)₂SO₄ 0.25M)



Fig. 6. Ammonium concentration in column wash water according to time (water/residues ratio: 0.8/1, height column 500 mm)

C. Discussion

The main mineral compositions of the ion-adsorbed RE ore were quartz, feldspar, kaolinite and mica (Table I), mainly clay-kaolin group minerals with about 70 to 75% (major rare earth adsorbents). In addition, there were a litter chlorite, hematite and goethite, from 2 to 5%.

The rare earth content in the particle size was corresponds to the mineral composition of the clay-kaolin group minerals. Partitioning of RE indicates that the middle and heavy REs with tremendous commercial value accounted for a large proportion of rare earth components (Table II). Total rare earth content ranges from 340 to 550 ppm and was a Dy-rich rare earth.

The results obtained in Table III showed that RE exists as ion-exchangeable state, colloid sediment state and mineral state. About 85% of RE in this ore exists as ionexchangeable state. Cerium content in ionexchangeable statehas very low composition, about ~3% in total REion-exchangeable state (Table IV). Thus, most of cerium exists in the form of CeO_2 mineral.

The results in Fig.2 showed that the rare earth recovery efficiency increased as the L/S ratio increased. The rare earth recovery efficiency was about 85% (without-Ce) and 75% (with TREEs) with liquid/solid ratio of 0.8/1. When the liquid/solid ratio increased more, the rare earth recovery efficiency increased insignificantly and the leaching time increased considerably. Therefore, the liquid/solid ratio of 0.8/1 was a suitable ratio for the separation process to recover rare earths from ion-adsorption RE ore.

Similarly, the results in Fig.3 showed that the rare earth recovery efficiency increased as the $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ concentration increased. The rare earth recovery efficiency was about 85% (without-Ce) and 75% (with TREEs) with $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ concentration of 0.25M. The rare earth recovery efficiency increased insignificantly and the leaching time and the amount of chemicals consumed increased greatly as $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ concentration increased. Moreover, as the solution concentration increased, the content of impurities, especially Al, also increased (Fig.4). In the leaching process of RE, impurity ions, especially Al, accounting for 35%-75% of total amount of impurities in the leachate, were also exchanged into the leachate. The impurities in leachate would decrease the quality of RE product, increase the consumption of precipitator and even destroy the crystal forming of carbonate RE precipitation. In addition, in order to completely elute all REE in the column and to ensure that the solid residue is free of lixiviant prior to disposal, column flushing with fresh water becomes necessary. Then, the higher the solution concentration, the longer the washing chemicals time and more consumed. Therefore, to obtain rare earth products with high purity, a solution concentration of about 0.25M was suitable.

It can be seen that multi-stage washing of clayswith fresh solution provided no benefit to additional extraction, increasing the overall TREE extraction by only $\sim 0.7\%$; therefore, further use of fresh lixiviant is not recommended as it does not improve. Proper washing of leached material, however, plays an important role in maximizing the recovery of REE and the unspent lixiviant. Fig.5 also showed that the distribution of TREE recovery between the initial stage leachate, the first washing step and a second washing step for a single-stage leaching experiment. It cans be observed that washing accounted for $\sim 5.4\%$ of the TREE recovered from leachate retained in the residue. Thus, the washing process helped recover rare earths more thoroughly and the overall efficiency of the leaching and washing process reaches about 90%.

In addition, in order to completely elute all REE in the column and to ensure that the

solid residue is free of lixiviant prior to disposal, column flushing with fresh water becomes necessary and the result was showed in Fig. 6. It can be observed that almost of NH_{4^+} was removed after 20 hrs of operation in the first 0.6 ml/g wash water collected. This wash water would be reused for the next leaching process or treated as a wastewater at the end of the mining process.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Study on the column leaching process of rare earths from ion adsorption clay by ammonium sulphate at laboratory scale was done. Total rare earths content in ion adsorption clay about 350 - 550 ppm and was a Dy-rich rare earth. The total REE extraction was a function of L/S ratio, $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ concentration and time. The obtained results indicated that the 0.25M $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ solution concentration was suitable. It can be observed that $\sim 90\%$ of the total extracted REE was collected in the first ~ 0.8 ml/g of leachate after 24 hrs of leaching and in the first 0.6 ml/g wash water after 20 hrs washing. The HREE were extracted more easily to LREE. Almost of NH4⁺ was removed after washing and the wash water would be reused for the next leaching process or treated as a wastewater at the end of the mining process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by of Science Vietnam Ministry and the Technology (MOST) under Project DTCB.07/23/VCNXH. The authors would like to thank the MOST, Vietnam Atomic Energy Institute (VINATOM) and Institute for Technology of Radioactive and Rare Elements (ITRRE) for their enthusiastic assistance in the implementation of the Project.

REFERENCES

- Weng, Z., Jowitt, S., Mudd, G. and Haque, N. A detailed assessment of global rare earth element resources: opportunities and challenges. *Economic Geology*, 110, 1925–1952, (2015).
- [2]. Chi, R., Tian, J., Luo, X., Xu, Z. and He, Z. Basic research on the weathered crust elution-deposited rare earth ores. Proceedings of the 52nd Conference of Metallurgists (COM 2013), Metallurgical Society of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (MetSoc-CIM), Montreal, Canada, 189–199, (2013).
- [3]. G. A. MOLDOVEANU AND V. G. PAPANGELAKIS.An overview of rare-earth recovery by ion-exchange leaching from ionadsorption clays of various origins.*Mineralogical Magazine*, Vol. 80(1), pp. 63–76, (2016).
- [4]. ZHANG Zhen-yue, HE Zheng-yan, XU Zhigao, YU Jun-xia, ZHANG Yue-fei, CHI Ru-an. Rare earth partitioning characteristics of China rare earth ore [J]. *Chinese Rare Earths*, 37(1): 121–127, (2016) (in Chinese).
- [5]. TIAN Jun, YIN Jing-qun, CHEN Kai-hong, RAO Guo-hua, JIANG Min-tao, CHI Ru-an. Optimization of mass transfer in column elution of rare earths from low grade weathered crust elution-deposited rare earth ore [J]. *Hydrometallurgy*, 103: 211–214, (2010).
- [6]. HE Zheng-yan, ZHANG Zhen-yue, YU Junxia, XU Zhi-gao, CHI Ru-an. Process optimization of rare earth and aluminum leaching from weathered crust elutiondeposited rare earth ore with compound ammonium salts [J]. Journal of Rare Earth, 34(4): 413-419, (2016).
- [7]. HE Zheng-yan, ZHANG Zhen-yue, YU Jun-xia, ZHOU Fang, XU Yuan-lai, XU Zhi-gao, CHEN Zhe, CHI Ru-an. Kinetics of column leaching of rare earth and aluminum from weathered crust elution-deposited rare earth ore with compound ammonium salt [J]. *Hydrometallurgy*, 163: 33–39. (2016).

- [8]. XIAO Yan-fei, FENG Zong-yu, HU Gu-hua, HUANG Li, HUANG Xiao-wei, CHEN Yingying, LI Ming-lai. Leaching and mass transfer characteristics of elements from ion-adsorption type rare earth ore [J]. *Rare Metal*, 34(5): 357–365, (2015).
- [9]. MOLDOVEANU G A, PAPANGELAKIS V G. Recovery of rare earth elements adsorbed on clay minerals: I. Desorption mechanism [J]. *Hydrometallurgy*, 117–118: 71–78. (2012).
- [10]. TIAN Jun, TANG Xue-kun, YIN Jing-qun, LUO Xiao-ping, RAO Guo-hua, JIANG Mintao. Process optimization on leaching of a lean weathered crust elution-deposited rare earth ores [J].*International Journal of Mineral Processing*, 119: 83–88, (2013).
- [11]. Chi, R., Zhang, Z., Xu, Z., He, Z. and Ruan, Y. Novel solution injection technology for in-situ leaching of weathered crust elutiondeposited rare earth ores. Proceedings of the 53rd Conference of Metallurgists (COM 2014), Metallurgical Society of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (MetSoc-CIM), Vancouver, Canada. (2014).
- [12]. Chi, R. and Tian, J. Weathered Crust Elutiondeposited Rare Earth Ores. *Nova Science Publishers*, New York, USA. (2008).
- [13]. Cheuk, F., Moldoveanu, G., Abendschein, S., Krause, E. and Papangelakis, V. Extraction of rare earth elements from various ion-adsorption clays. Proceedings of the 53rd Conference of Metallurgists (COM 2014), Metallurgical Society of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (MetSoc-CIM), Vancouver, Canada. (2014).
- [14].Coppin, F., Berger, G., Bauer, A., Castet, S. and Loubet, M. Sorption of Lanthanides on Smectite and Kaolinite. *Chemical Geology*, 182, 57–68, (2002).
- [15]. YANG Xiu-li, ZHANG Jun-wei. Recovery of rare earth from ion-adsorption rare earth ores with a compound lixiviant [J].*Separation and Purification Technology*, 142: 203–208, (2015).